UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,419	05/05/2006	Richard Lawrence Joseph Fernandes	TS6368US	1681
23632 SHELL OIL CO	7590 06/13/200 <b>DMPANY</b>	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2463			THOMPSON, KENNETH L	
HOUSTON, TX 772522463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/578,419	FERNANDES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth Thompson	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be  red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1.4-8.10 and 13-16 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1.4-6.10 and 13-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 7.8 and 12 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2008 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is c	lee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The indicated allowability of claims 2-4, 11 and 12 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Warner et al., U.S. 4,339,152. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The recitation "the injected flux" and "the flux of crude oil" lacks antecedent basis and should be changed to "an injected flux" and "a flux of crude oil". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The recitation on page 1 "US Patent 4,544,307" should be changed to "US Patent 4,544,207". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Warner et al., U.S. 4,339,152.

Warner et al. discloses all the claimed limitations including one gas injection point (21) and a plurality of hollow frustoconical disk shaped plates (50; col. 5, lines 1-7) having eccentric orifices (56) or slots.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warner et al., U.S. 4,339,152.

Warner et al. discloses all the claimed limitations except for the different patterns. However it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the shape of a prior art device to achieve predictable results.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am - 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9 June 2008

/Kenneth Thompson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672